

# Group Actions on the Cohomology of Hyperplane Complements

Ragib Zaman

February 28, 2013

This project has studied the topological space  $M$  obtained from  $\mathbb{C}^l$  by removing a finite set of hyperplanes  $\mathcal{A}$ . Associated to this space is its cohomology ring, which contains information about the topology of the space. When there is a symmetry group  $G$  acting on  $\mathcal{A}$ , it also acts on the cohomology ring  $H^*(M) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H^n(M)$  and we study the representation  $T : G \rightarrow GL(H^*(M))$ . An important special case is the space of configurations  $M_l = \{(z_1, \dots, z_l) \in \mathbb{C}^l : z_i \neq z_j \text{ if } i \neq j\}$  with  $G = S_l$ .

## Cohomology

Let  $M \subset \mathbb{C}^l$  be a smooth manifold. One way to compute the cohomology spaces  $H^n(M, \mathbb{C})$  is to use the vector spaces  $\Omega^n(M)$  of holomorphic  $n$ -differential forms on  $M$ . These are expressions of the form  $\omega = \sum f_{i_1, \dots, i_n} dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_n}$  where  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_n \leq l$  and  $f_{i_1, \dots, i_n}$  are holomorphic functions from  $M$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ . The wedge  $\wedge$  is a product on these forms, and has the property that  $dx_i \wedge dx_j = -dx_j \wedge dx_i$ .

**Definition 0.1** *The exterior derivative  $d$  acts on  $n$ -forms and outputs  $n+1$ -forms by the following rule: If  $\omega$  is as above, then*

$$d\omega = \sum_{j=1}^l \sum \frac{\partial f_{i_1, \dots, i_n}}{\partial x_j} dx_j \wedge dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_n}.$$

*Let  $d_n$  be the restriction of the exterior derivative to the  $n$ -forms. The de Rham complex of  $M$  is the following sequence of vector spaces and maps:*

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^0(M) \xrightarrow{d_0} \Omega^1(M) \xrightarrow{d_1} \Omega^2(M) \xrightarrow{d_2} \Omega^3(M) \xrightarrow{d_3} \dots$$

An important property of the exterior derivative is that  $\forall n, (d_{n+1} \circ d_n)(\omega) = 0$  for any differential  $n$ -form  $\omega$ . This implies that the image of  $d_n$  is contained in the kernel of  $d_{n+1}$ , so the quotient  $\ker d_{n+1} / \text{im } d_n$  is well defined. We define the  $n$ -th cohomology group of  $M$  to be  $H^n(M) = \ker d_n / \text{im } d_{n-1}$  and the cohomology group of  $M$  to be  $H^*(M) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H^n(M)$ .  $H^*$  inherits a ring structure from  $\Omega^*(M) = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega^p(M)$ .

## Hyperplane Complements

**Definition 0.2** Suppose  $V$  is a vector space of dimension  $l$  over a field  $k$ . A hyperplane  $H$  in  $V$  is a vector subspace of dimension  $l - 1$ . An arrangement  $\mathcal{A}$  is a finite set of hyperplanes in  $V$ .

**Example 0.3** Considering  $\mathbb{R}^3$  as a real vector space, a hyperplane is simply a plane through the origin.

**Definition 0.4** If  $\mathcal{A}$  is an arrangement of hyperplanes, then  $M_{\mathcal{A}} = V \setminus \bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{A}} H$  is said to be a hyperplane complement. A special example is the arrangement  $\mathcal{A} = \{H_{ij} : x_i - x_j = 0\}$  which yields the hyperplane complement  $M_{\mathcal{A}} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_l) : x_i \neq x_j \text{ if } i \neq j\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^l$ . This space is called a configuration space.

From this point we will always take the underlying field to be  $k = \mathbb{C}$ . Orlik and Solomon were able to obtain a generators and relations description of the cohomology ring  $H^*(M_{\mathcal{A}})$  when  $\mathcal{A}$  is a complex arrangement. For a hyperplane  $H \in \mathcal{A}$  we define the 1-form  $\omega_H = \frac{dL_H}{L_H}$  where  $L_H$  is a linear form such that  $\ker L_H = H$ .

**Example 0.5** If  $H$  is given by the equation  $x_1 - x_2 = 0$  then

$$\omega_H = \frac{d(x_1 - x_2)}{x_1 - x_2} = \frac{1}{x_1 - x_2} dx_1 - \frac{1}{x_1 - x_2} dx_2.$$

**Theorem 0.6 (Orlik-Solomon)**  $H^*(M_{\mathcal{A}})$  is generated as an associative algebra by  $\{[\omega_H] : H \in \mathcal{A}\}$  where  $[\omega_H]$  is the image of  $\omega_H$  under the quotient map used to define the cohomology groups. All the relations these generators satisfy may be deduced from:

1)

$$\omega_H \wedge \omega_{H'} = -\omega_{H'} \wedge \omega_H$$

2) If  $H_1, \dots, H_k$  are hyperplanes such that  $L_1, \dots, L_k$  are linearly dependent (so that the codimension of  $\cap H_i$  is less than  $k$ ) then

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^i \omega_{H_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{\omega_{H_i}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{H_k} = 0$$

where the hat denotes omission of that term.

**Example 0.7** If  $H_{ij}$  denotes the hyperplane with equation  $x_i - x_j = 0$ , then  $H_{12}, H_{23}, H_{13}$  are linearly dependent since  $(x_1 - x_2) + (x_2 - x_3) - (x_1 - x_3) = 0$ . So then we have  $-\omega_{H_{23}} \wedge \omega_{H_{13}} + \omega_{H_{12}} \wedge \omega_{H_{13}} - \omega_{H_{12}} \wedge \omega_{H_{23}} = 0$ .

Recall the configuration space  $M_l = M_{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^l$  where  $\mathcal{A} = \{H_{ij} : z_i - z_j = 0\}$ . The symmetric group on  $l$  letters,  $S_l$ , acts on  $M_l$  by permutation of coordinates, and this action transfers to an action on the cohomology ring  $H^*(M_l)$ .

The action of  $\pi \in S_l$  on  $\omega_{ij} = \omega_{H_{ij}}$  is given by the rule

$$\pi \omega_{ij} = \omega_{\pi i, \pi j}.$$

**Example 0.8**  $M_3$  is the space obtained by removing the planes

$$H_{12} : z_1 - z_2 = 0, H_{23} : z_2 - z_3 = 0, H_{13} : z_1 - z_3 = 0$$

from  $\mathbb{C}^3$ . The cycle  $(13) \in S_3$  acts on a point  $(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in M_3$  to produce  $(z_3, z_2, z_1)$ . By the previous theorem, the cohomology ring  $H^*(M_3)$  is generated by the forms  $\omega_{12} = \frac{d(z_1 - z_2)}{z_1 - z_2}$ ,  $\omega_{23} = \frac{d(z_2 - z_3)}{z_2 - z_3}$  and  $\omega_{13} = \frac{d(z_1 - z_3)}{z_1 - z_3}$ .  $S_3$  acts on the cohomology ring. E.g. The cycle  $\pi = (132)$  acts on the first generator as such:  $\pi \omega_{12} = \omega_{31}$ .

In 1987, G.I. Lehrer computed  $\text{trace}(g, H^p(M_n))$  for  $g \in S_n$  - that is, the trace of the linearized action of each element of  $S_n$  on the  $p$ -th cohomology group of  $M_n$ .

**Remark 0.9** *The trace is invariant under conjugacy, and each conjugacy class of the symmetric group is determined by the cycle types. So up to conjugacy,  $g \sim l_1^{n_1} l_2^{n_2} \cdots l_r^{n_r}$ . Since  $g$  has  $n_i$  cycles of length  $l_i$  we have the condition that  $\sum n_i l_i = n$ .*

**Theorem 0.10 (G.I. Lehrer, 1987)** : *Suppose  $g$  has cycle type  $l_1^{n_1} l_2^{n_2} \cdots l_r^{n_r}$ . Define the Poincaré polynomial of  $M_n$  by*

$$P(g, t) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \text{trace}(g, H^p(M_n)) t^p.$$

*Let  $p_n(t) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(n/d) (-t)^{n-d}$  where  $\mu(n)$  is the Möbius function, which is defined to be 1, -1 if  $n$  is square-free with an even or odd number of prime factors respectively, and 0 if  $n$  is not square-free. E.g  $p_1(t) = 1, p_2(t) = 1 + t, p_3(t) = 1 - t^2$ . Then  $P(g, t) = P_1(t) P_2(t) \cdots P_r(t)$  where*

$$P_i(t) = p_{l_i}(t) (p_{l_i}(t) - l_i (-t)^{l_i}) (p_{l_i}(t) - 2l_i (-t)^{l_i}) \cdots \\ \cdots (p_{l_i}(t) - (n_i - 1) l_i (-t)^{l_i})$$

**Corollary 0.11** *In 1969 V.I. Arnold computed the dimensions of the vector spaces  $H^p(M_n, \mathbb{C})$ . The trace of the identity is simply the dimension of the space so his result can be rephrased as*

$$P(1, t) = (1 + t)(1 + 2t) \cdots (1 + (n - 1)t).$$

**Proof 0.12** *The identity on the symmetric group with  $n$  elements has cycle type  $(1)^n$  - it has  $n$  cycles, each of length 1. So by the previous theorem, we have*

$$P(1, t) = P_1(t) \\ = p_1(t)(p_1(t) - (-t)^1)(p_1(t) - 2(-t)^1) \cdots (p_1(t) - (n - 1)(-t)^1).$$

*Since  $p_1(t) = 1$ , this simplifies to V.I. Arnold's result.*

## Bibliography

G.I Lehrer and Louis Solomon, "On the action of the symmetric group on the cohomology of the complement of its reflecting hyperplanes", *Journal of Algebra* 104, pg 410-424, 1986

Peter Orlik, "Introduction to Arrangements", CBMS, 1988, ISBN 0-8218-0723-4